Application No. Applicant(s) 10/673,486 **GUVEN ET AL.** Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Brian J. Broadhead 3661 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) Brad C. Rametta. BUL (1) Brian J. Broadhead. (2) Ryan C. Stockett. Zes (4) . Date of Interview: 14 June 2005. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1] applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1,10 and 16. Identification of prior art discussed: Prahbu et al.. Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. f N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative pointed out that the current invention limits the load applied while Prabhe et al. adjusts the engine output to account for increased load. It appears the current invention distinguishes over Prabhu et al.. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form	
Application No.: 10/673,486	Examiner: <u>Broadhead, Brian J.</u>
First Named Applicant: Mustafa K. Guven	Group Art Unit: 3661
Status of Application: Pending	
Tentative Participants:	
(1)	Ryan C. Stockett
(3) <u>Brad C. Rametta</u> (4)	
Proposed Date of Interview: June 14, 2005 Proposed Time: 10:00 AM	
Type of Interview Requested:	☐ Telephonic☒ Personal☐ Video Conference
Exhibit to be Shown or Demonstrated?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
If yes, provide brief description:	
Issues to be Discussed	
Issues	
1	•
(Rej., Obj. <u>etc.) Claims/Fig. #s</u> <u>Prior Art</u>	<u>Discussed</u> <u>Agreed</u> <u>Not Agreed</u>
etc.) <u>Claims/Fig. #s</u> <u>Prior Art</u>	
etc.) <u>Claims/Fig. #s</u> <u>Prior Art</u>	
etc.) Claims/Fig. #s Prior Art 1. Rej. 1, 10, 16 Prahbu et 2.	
etc.) Claims/Fig. #s Prior Art 1. Rej. 1, 10, 16 Prahbu et	
etc.) Claims/Fig. #s Prior Art 1. Rej. 1, 10, 16 Prahbu et 2.	
etc.) Claims/Fig. #s Prior Art 1. Rej. 1. 10, 16 Prahbu et 2 3 4 Continuation Sheet Attached Brief Description of Arguments to be Preprahbu et al. fails to disclose all of the claim	Al.
etc.) Claims/Fig. #s Prior Art 1. Rej. 1. 10, 16 Prahbu et 2 3 4 Continuation Sheet Attached Brief Description of Arguments to be Preprahbu et al. fails to disclose all of the claim 10, 16.	esented: n elements of at least Independent Claims 1.
etc.) Claims/Fig. #s Prior Art 1. Rej. 1. 10, 16 Prahbu et 2 3 4 Continuation Sheet Attached Brief Description of Arguments to be Preprahbu et al. fails to disclose all of the claim 10, 16.	esented: n elements of at least Independent Claims 1.
etc.) Claims/Fig. #s Prior Art 1. Rej. 1. 10, 16 Prahbu et 2 3 4 Continuation Sheet Attached Brief Description of Arguments to be Preprahbu et al. fails to disclose all of the claim	esented: n elements of at least Independent Claims 1. re-identified application on: 6/19/05. poplicant and submitted to the Examiner in 0.01). This application will not be delayed file a statement of the substance of this
etc.) Claims/Fig. #s Prior Art 1. Rej. 1. 10, 16 Prahbu et 2 3 4 Continuation Sheet Attached Brief Description of Arguments to be Preprahbu et al. fails to disclose all of the claim 10, 16. An interview was conducted on the above Mote: This form should be completed by a advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713 from issue because of applicant's failure to	esented: n elements of at least Independent Claims 1. re-identified application on: 6/19/05. poplicant and submitted to the Examiner in 0.01). This application will not be delayed file a statement of the substance of this